1		The Hon. John H. Chun
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR24-187-JHC
11	Plaintiff	
12		
13	v.	ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
14	KHALIIL AHMED,	
15	Defendant.	
16		

The Court has considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Continue Trial, which requests a continuance of the trial date and the pretrial motions deadline.

THE COURT FINDS that the failure to grant a continuance of the trial date would result in a miscarriage of justice, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that this case is complex, as it arises from a nearly two-year investigation and there is a significant volume of discovery that has been produced and is to be produced. Therefore, it is unreasonable to expect the parties to adequately prepare, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself as currently set. Specifically, parties' briefing reflects the extensive discovery already produced—more than 12,000 pages—which includes legal process obtained in the investigation (e.g., search warrants, T-III pleadings), line sheets

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

reflecting pertinent intercepts from all three periods of T-III interception, surveillance photos, search photos, and HSI reports reflecting investigative events through approximately the end of September 2024. In addition to the discovery from the larger drug trafficking investigation, there is also a significant amount of discovery from the SPD investigation upon which Counts 1 and 2 are based. Finally, there remains to be produced additional supplemental reports, photos from the searches conducted on October 30, 2024, cell phone extractions, video from pole cameras, location data for cell phones and vehicles, and reports and materials generated by agencies other than HSI and SPD that have been involved in the investigation. Given the scope and complexity of the underlying investigation, this case may also raise novel questions of law and fact. THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the failure to grant a continuance of the trial

date and pretrial motions deadline would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

THE COURT FINDS, therefore, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(6) and 3161(h)(7), the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and that they outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date will be continued until May 12, 2025, at 1:30 p.m. The period of delay from the date of this order to the new trial date is excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pretrial motions will be filed no later than March
2	17, 2025.
3	IT IS ORDERED.
4	DATED this <u>26th</u> day of November, 2024.
5	
6	John M. Chan
7	THE HON. JOHN H. CHUN
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	Presented by:
10	s/ Joseph C. Silvio
11	JOSEPH C. SILVIO MICHELLE JENSEN
12	Assistant United States Attorneys
13	
14	w/Thomas E. Women
15	<u>s/ Thomas E. Weaver</u>   THOMAS E. WEAVER
16	Counsel for Khaliil Ahmed
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Order Continuing Trial Date 2